

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	10/050,023	01/15/2002	David D. Chase	74294-013	5503
		7590 03/23/200 DENIBER CER. LL C	7	EXAM	INER
	190 CARONDI	PENBERGER, LLC ELET PLAZA		FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
	SUITE 600 ST. LOUIS, MO	O 63105-3441		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		•	. 3736	
_		•			
	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
•	3 MO	NTHS	03/23/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•)	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/050,023	CHASE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA:  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December 2006</u> .					
<i>'</i> = <i>'</i> -	· ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-48,50,52 and 53 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 and 15-39 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 40-48,50,52 and 53 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 9-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		*				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	o □ !i o	mman (BTO 442)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-932)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_

6) Other: \_

### DETAILED ACTION

New grounds of rejection are contained within this Office Action. Accordingly this action has been made Non-Final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,884,562 to Stone.

In regard to claims 9 and 10, Stone discloses a support belt body (Figure 3) sized to fit about the lower trunk of a human user, said support belt body having a front designed to be worn along the front of the lower trunk of the human user, a back designed to be worn along the back of the lower trunk of the human user, and sides (20, 22) designed to be worn along the sides of the human user, the support belt body being composed of elastic material (Col. 3, lines 9 – 17); first and second sets of protrusions (28; Figure 4) disposed along the sides of the support belt body so as to press against the sides of the lower trunk of the human user during use, at positions substantially 90° and 270° from the human user's navel (Col. 2, lines 38 – 42); said protrusions of each set being of a size to apply pressure at multiple recognizably separate points along each side of the lower trunk of the human user, whereby the pressure applied by the protrusions continually stimulates the user's muscles and thereby reduces any tendency of the muscles of the back of the human user to overcompensate in response to sudden or unexpected loading events.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,884,562 to Stone.

In regards to claims 11, 13, Stone r discloses protrusions in a set and discloses multiple sizes of belts (Col. 3, line 65 – Col. 4, line 5), but fails to disclose the protrusions having a height from approximately one-eighth inch to one inch and being between approximately four millimeters and seventy millimeters measured center to center, from each other. However, a change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. *In re Rose*, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Here, modifying the size and spacing of the protrusions as disclosed by Miller be approximately one-eighth inch to one inch in height and between approximately four millimeters and seventy millimeters measured center to center would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in order to allow for the protrusions to urge against the iliac crests of individuals of different sizes.

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4,884,562 to Stone as applied to claim 11, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,769,803 to Brossard.

In regards to claim 12, Stone discloses first and second sets of protrusions along the body of the support belt, but fails to disclose additional protrusions applying less pressure to the lower trunk of the user between the first and second sets of protrusions. However, Brossard discloses a set of Application/Control Number: 10/050,023

Art Unit: 3736

protrusions (60; Figure 19) applying light pressure (Col. 10, lines 27 - 30) positioned on an area of a support belt lying between the positions of the protrusions as disclosed by Stone. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the support belt as disclosed by Stone to include a set of protrusions ad taught by Brossard in order to increase the reflex response of the muscle group (Abstract) located in the lumbar region.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 40 – 48, 50, 52 and 53 are allowed. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMLF